Seasonal, Interannual, and Decadal variability of Great Lakes ice cover: Research and Prediction

> NOAA GLERL and CIGLR, Ann Arbor, MI

lia Wang

In collaboration with James Kessler, Anne Clites, Haoguo Hu, Brent Lofgren, G Leshkevish, Philip Chu, John Bratton



Great Lakes Conference, MSU, March 6, 2018 Acknowledgements: GLRI for Climate Informatio for Decision Making





Cooperative Institute for Limnology and Ecosystems Research University of Michigan

Outline

I. Introduction

- II. Research
- 1. Seasonal Variations
- 2. Interannual (year-to-year) Variability: El Nino and Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and North Atalantic Oscillation (NAO)
- 3. Decadal teleconnection patters: Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) and Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) and their Impacts on Lake ice and LST
- III. Prediction: Transition of Research to Application (R2A) and Operation (R2O)
- 1. Coupled Great Lake Ice-lake Model (GLIM)
- 2. Statistical, multi-variable models
- 3. 2018 Projection

IV. Summary

I. Introduction (Decadal Time Scales)

Great Lakes Ice Cover Maxima





In the Great Lakes ...

Ship navigation

Delays spring water warming

Winter recreation and USGC

Under-ice biology and spring bloom

> Shutdowns Evaporation

In the Great Lakes ...

Michigan



By the numbers: Icebreaking on the Great Lakes last winter



[http://connect.mlive.com/staff/gellison/index.html] By Garret Elliso Follow on Twitter [http://twitter.com/garretellison] on October 22, 2015 at 5:05 PM, updated October 22, 2015 at 6:21 PM

CLEVELAND, OH — Another icebreaking season is around the concerning it's not as brutal as the past two.

This week in Cleveland, members of the U.S. & Canadian Coast (icebreaking operations for the upcoming winter season after two ice cover on the Great Lakes.

Ice cover peaked in 2015 at 89 percent. In 2014, total ice cover p surpassing all-time records set in 1994 and 1979.

Back-to-back winters of historic ice caused shipping delays, ind and prompted calls from vessel owners and politicians for anoth icebrooker, but the region faces competition [http://www.ml



Large interannual variability in ice cover \rightarrow lake thermodynamics \rightarrow heat fluxes \rightarrow T stratification



II. Great Lakes Ice and Climate Research

- **1.** Seasonal Variations
- 2. Interannual (year-to-year) Variability: El Nino and Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and North Atalantic Oscillation (NAO)
- 3. Decadal teleconnection patters: Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) and Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) and their Impacts on Lake ice and LST

1. Seasonal Variation





Ice Cover

0 10 20 20 40 55 50 70 50

Climatological maps for monthly AMIC (annual maximum ice cover) in all five Great Lakes for December, January, February, March, and April for the period 1973-2017.

2. Interannual (year-to-year) Variability



Figure 6. Annual Mean Ice Cover (AAIC) and Trend in the Great Lakes, 1973-2017.

Why Year-to-Year Change?

- Global atmospheric teleconnection patterns?
- They are too far from us. How can they influences Great Lakes?

Major global-scale atmospheric teleconnection patterns





Conceptual diagram for the development of teleconnection patterns associated with severe and least ice cover, through the Westerly Jet ridgetrough system's intensification and weakening (Bai and Wang, 2012)



Relationship between Lake ice and NAO/AO and ENSO

(Bai et al. 2012, JGR)



 North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) (Arctic Oscillation) Pacific North America Pattern (El Nino/La Nina, ENSO)





3. Decadal Variability



Decadal Time Scales in Annual Max Ice Cover (AMIC)



The annual time series of AMIC (black), AMO index (red), and PDO index (blue). The linear correlation coefficients are calculated: r(AMIC, AMO)=-0.38, and r(AMIC, PDO)=0.15. The dashed lines denotes the indices >1 and <-1.

Pacific Decadal Oscillation 1970-2015



a) The Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) Index is defined as the leading principal component of North Pacific monthly sea surface temperature variability (poleward of 20N). b) warm phase and c) cold phase of PDO, and corresponding October-March surface air temperature (d) and precipitation (e) anomaly during the warm phase in North America for the 1900-1993 period. <u>http://takvera.blogspot.com/2015/03/taking-earths-temperature-and-influence.html</u> (John Englart). Data sources:

http://waaaawah liaaa.uuaahiyatay adu/yada/DDO lataat

P



a) The AMO Index is defined as the North Atlantic Ocean SST anomaly with the linear trend removed. Spatial pattern of SST anomaly for warm phase (b) and cold phase (c) of AMO.



Scatter plots of AMIC vs. NAO index (a), Niño3.4 index (b), AMO index (c), and PDO index (d). The linear regression lines are given. The quadratic curves are also given for Niño3.4 and PDO. *r* indicates the linear correlation coefficients between the time series of AMIC and the individual indices for the period of 1963-2017.

Table 1. Correlations and p-values of AMIC with teleconnection patterns. The significance levels are calculated using Monte Carlo simulation (Livezey and Chen 1983; Wang et al. 1994).

Index	r	p-value	Significance (%)
Nino3.4	-0.131	0.340	66
Nino 3.4^2	-0.415	0.002	99.8
NAO	-0.102	0.458	54.2
NAO^2	-0.004	0.979	1
AMO	-0.377	0.005	99.5
AMO^2	-0.096	0.484	51.6
PDO	0.151	0.271	62.9
PDO^2	0.109	0.429	57.1



Spatial regression map between the sea-level pressure (SLP) and DJFM indices of 1) NAO, b) Nino3.4, c) AMO, and d) PDO during 1949-2016. The color bars are in dynamic height, hPa.



Spatial correlation map between the surface air temperature (SAT) and DJFM indices of 1) NAO, b) Nino3.4, c) AMO, and d) PDO during 1949-2016.



Spatial difference (or anomaly) map between the positive phase (years) of AMO (1998-2017) and the negative phase (years) of AMO (1973-1997) for a) SAT, and winter (b) and summer (c) LST difference between the +AMO and -AMO. Units are in °C.



Spatial composite DJFM SAT anomaly map referred to the climatology/mean of 1949-2016 for a) the positive phase (years) of PDO, b) the negative phase (years) of PDO, and winter (c) and summer (d) LST difference between +PDO and -PDO. Units are in °C.



Spatial composite AMIC during +AMO years (upper left), -AMO years (upper right), LST difference between +AMO and –AMO years (lower left), and Student T-test areas for over 95% significance level (lower right).



Spatial composite AMIC during +PDO years (upper left), -PDO years (upper right), LST difference between +PDO and –PDO years (lower left), and Student T-test areas for over 95% significance level (lower right).

III. Prediction of Great Lakes Ice Cover

- Coupled Great Lake Ice-lake Model (GLIM)
- 2. Statistical, multi-variable models
- 3. 2018 Projection

1. Computer Modeling: Resolution for the Great Lakes Ice-lake Model (GLIM)

2011-2012 ice season



Validation of GLIM

Wang et al. (2010, JGLR)

SSM/I, MODIS-Ice C.

AVHRR-SST



GLIM was implemented into the Great Lakes Coastal Forecasting System (GLCFS) beginning in winter 2009/10 (by Philip Chu, Dave Schwab and Greg Lang):

http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/res/glcfs/erie-ice.php?lake=e&type=F&hr=01

R2O: GLCFS-Ice Forecasts

2009-2010 (no assim.)

2010-2011 (assim.)



Ice forecast with "data assim." has been implemented into the Great Lakes Coastal Forecasting System (collaborated with Dave Schwab and Greg Lang): http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/res/glcfs/erie-ice.php?lake=e&type=F&hr=01

GLIM 5-day Prediction during 2013-14 ice season (heavy ice season)







R2O: GLERL Ice Forecast (GLIM) has been in the GLCFS (Great Lakes Coastal Forecasting System) since 2010

(Wang et al. 2010, JGLR; Fujisaki et al 2012 JGLR, 2013 JGR)

http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/res/glcfs/ up to 5-day Forecast



Ice Concentration



New model: Unstructured-grid FVCOM (finite volume coastal ocean model) (topography)



Unstructured grids (new generation)



Model Validation

- Satellite Surface temperature (GLSEA2)
- Thermistor chain measurement

Model Results: Long-term 1993-2008 mean circulation



Model Results: Long-term 1993-2008 mean circulation

Depth-averaged Currents in Summer



3

Seasonal Cycle of Lake Averaged Water Temperature





R&D: Modification and Implementation of FVCOM-Ice model

Changed Euler forward scheme and 4th-order Runge-Kutta scheme that are inertially unstable (Wang and Ikeda 1997, MWR) to centered differencing scheme of neutral stability for inertial motion. Observed(red) and Simulated(black) Ice Extent in Lake Erie from 2003-2011





R&D: Development of 5-lake unstructured-grid FVCOM with ice (CICE4) Grids Modeled summer circulation



Depth-averaged Currents in Summer 49N 48N 47N 46N 45N 44N 43N 42N 41N 88w 86W 84 82W 80w 92W 78W

Measured Lake Surface Temperature

Modeled Lake Surface Temperature



(Bai et al. 2013, Ocean Modelling)



Model-simulated ice cover (blue scales) and ice flow (red arrows), and water temperature (red scales) and velocity (black)



2. Develop multiple variables regression models

Orig: (Bai et al. 2012, JGR) (1963-2017)

Y=0.46 -0.01Niño3.4 -0.53(Niño3.4)2 -0.33NAO+ 0.30NAO•(Niño3.4)2

Full model

Y=0.18 -0.16Niño3.4 -0.47(Niño3.4)^2 -0.57NAO+ 0.38NAO•(Niño3.4)^2 -2.8AMO+0.25PDO+0.22PDO^2

- -1.00AMO•NAO-0.07PDO2•(Niño3.4)^2
- +0.98AMO•PDO^2





Scatter plots of AMIC vs. NAO index (a), Niño3.4 index (b), AMO index (c), and PDO index (d). The linear regression lines are given. The quadratic curves are also given for Niño3.4 and PDO. *r* indicates the linear correlation coefficients between the time series of AMIC and the individual indices for the period of 1963-2017.

Develop multiple variables regression models

Full model without LST (1982-2017)

Y=0.26 -0.15Niño3.4 -0.41(Niño3.4)² -0.25NAO+ 0.13NAO•(Niño3.4)²

-3.86AMO+0.25PDO+0.15PDO²

-0.64AMO•NAO-0.001PDO²•(Niño3.4)²

+2.32AMO•PDO2

Full model with LST (1982-2017)



-3.62AMO+0.22PDO+0.12PDO²

```
-0.01AMO•NAO+0.001PDO<sup>2</sup>•(Niño3.4)<sup>2</sup>
```

+2.28AMO•PDO2

-0.26LST



Table 2: Statistics for model compared to observed ice cover. RMSE is root mean square error, correlation is Pearson's correlation coefficient (r), p-value is the significance of correlation based on students T-test, adjusted- R^2 is r-squared value adjusted to penalize for multiple predictor variables, w/in 20% is the percentage of years that model predicted ice cover within 20% of observations (less than 20% absolute error), and w/in 10% is percentage of years with less than 10% absolute error

	Data used	RMSE	Correl.	p-value	r^2 a	adj-r ²	w/in20%	w/in10%
Bai et al.	(1963-2017)) 20.47	0.48	0.00022	0.23	0.17	65%	38%
Full model	(1963-2017) 17.91	0.69	4.9 x10 ⁻⁹	0.48	0.36	78%	47%
Full model	(1981-2017) 19.17	0.71	1.1 x10 ⁻⁶	⁵ 0.51	0.31	75%	53%
Full w/LS7	Г (1981-2017) 18.41	0.75	1.3 x10 ⁻⁸	³ 0.56	0.36	78%	53%

3. Application: 2018 projection



Max Ice Cover, Feb 11, 2018





Conceptual diagram for the development of teleconnection patterns associated with severe and least ice cover, through the Westerly Jet ridge-trough system's intensification and weakening (Bai and Wang, 2012)



Current weather maps, Jan 2, 2018



Current weather maps, Jan 3, 2018



Cold bombs or polar vortexes, Jan 3, 2018

Projecting annual maximum ice coverage in 2018 based on data from 1963-2017

Y=0.45 -0.13*Nino34 -0.4*Nino34**2 -0.4*NAO+ 0.21*NAO*Nino34**2 (ENSO&NAO on intera.) -0.5*AMO+0.15*PDO+0.05*PDO**2 (AMO&PDO linear and squared on decadal) +0.01*AMO*NAO-0.08*PDO**2*Nino34**2 (crossing decadal and interannual interactions) +0.16*PDO**2*AMO (AMO&PDO interactions on decadal time scales)



IV. Summary and future effort

- Similar to NAO, AMO has a linear, negative correlation with lake ice cover (r=-0.29, -0.31); similar to Nino3.4 (r=-0.22), PDO has a quadratic correlation with lake ice cover, but with positive sign (r=0.19)
- Adding PDO and AMO, and their interactions/competing to each other, and with NAO, and Nino3.4, the correlation increases from original 0.44 to 0. 68, a significant improvement.
- November LST has impact on ice formation. However, time series is 20 years shorter. The longer the time series, the better the regression models constructed
- In late December 2017, the projected indices changes signs:

Early Dec \rightarrow Late Dec of 2017

PDO $(-0.5 \rightarrow +0.5: \text{ warm to cold})$

AMO (0.4 → 0.3: same)

NAO $(+0.5 \rightarrow -1.0: \text{warm to cold})$

Nino3.4 (-1.5-)-1.0: strong La Nina (warm) -> weak La Nina (cold)

• Regression model-projected overall Great Lakes AMIC → 60%;

y_super = 68; y_mich = 43; y_huron = 62; y_ont = 29; y_erie = 90%, very cose to the observations (~10% relative error)

Future effort

- Projected indices determine the accuracy of the projection
- Research and development of FVCOM+ice will be another approach to conduct seasonal ice prediction near the future.